AD\_\_\_\_(Leave blank)

Award Number: W81XWH-06-2-0031

#### TITLE:

Diabetes Care and Treatment Project: A Diabetes Institute of the Walter Reed Health Care System and Joslin Telemedicine Initiative

### Á

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:
Robert A. Vigersky, COL MC

#### Á

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: T.R.U.E Research foundation San Antonio, TX 78217

REPORT DATE:
April 2009

#### Á

TYPE OF REPORT: Ô↔^á→ÁNääæ^ä|↑

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: (Check one)

X Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Aflington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE			ATES COVERED
09-04-		Final Addendum			AUG 2008 - 9 MAR 2009
4. TITLE AND SUBTIT					CONTRACT NUMBER
			ite of the Walter Ree	ed	W81XWH-06-2-0031
Health Care Syste	m and Joslin Tele	medicine Initiative			
				5b.	GRANT NUMBER
				5c.	PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
A AUTHOR(O)				-	DDG IFOT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Robert A. Vigersky, M.	ח			5d.	PROJECT NUMBER
Robert A. Vigersky, W.	Ь.			<u> </u>	TAOK NUMBER
				5e.	TASK NUMBER
				56.1	MODIZ LINIT NUMBER
	1.1			5f. V	WORK UNIT NUMBER
Email: vigersky@na		AND ADDRESS(50)			
7. PERFORMING ORG	SANIZATION NAME(S)	AND ADDRESS(ES)			ERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT UMBER
T.R.U.E. Research	Equadation			14	OMBER
San Antonio, TX 7					
San Antonio, 1 × 1	0211				
0.000N000NN0/M0	NUTODING ACTION		0(50)	10	
		NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10.	SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
-	I Research and Ma	iteriei Command			
Fort Detrick, Mary	and 21/02-5012			44	
					SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
					NUMBER(S)
	VAILABILITY STATEM				
Approved for Publ	ic Release; Distribu	ition Unlimited			
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES				
13. SUPPLEMENTAR	Y NOTES				
	Y NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this	continuing project are t				etes management and assessment of
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy that	continuing project are t	ccess for diabetic patients	s to appropriate care, that	centralizes the pa	atients in the process, that empowers the
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manage	continuing project are t at provides increased ac ge their disease, that ca	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that	t centralizes the pa at maintains the hi	
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better managappropriate management studies associa	continuing project are to at provides increased act their disease, that calent of diabetic patients. ted with the use of the r	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to perform prehensive Diabetes Man	t centralizes the part at maintains the hi from the appropriate agement Program	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care	continuing project are to at provides increased act the provides increased act the disease, that call the program that is now a continuing project are to dispersion of the program that is now a continuing project are the program that is now a continuing project are the program that is now a continuing project are the	ccess for diabetic patients in be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Man the need for diabetes dise	t centralizes the part maintains the his orm the appropriate agement Program ase management	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, but the major of the currently curable, but the major of the major o	continuing project are to at provides increased act at provides increased act at the calculust and the calculust at the calcu	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. The complications are preve	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to perforehensive Diabetes Man he need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to i	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional	continuing project are to at provides increased act per their disease, that call the	ccess for diabetic patients not be performed in a cost. The aim of this program recently developed Comp. The complications are preversion, acute-care model.	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to so large numbers of	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every	continuing project are to at provides increased act per their disease, that called the first of the patients. The program that is now a new it it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epict day in the US) and in continuits.	ccess for diabetic patients not be performed in a cost. The aim of this program recently developed Comp. The complications are preversion, acute-care model.	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care forge the health care delive	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to so large numbers of	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every	continuing project are to at provides increased act per their disease, that called the first of the patients. The program that is now a new it it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epict day in the US) and in continuits.	ccess for diabetic patients in be performed in a cost. The aim of this program recently developed Comp. The complications are preversion, acute-care model order to meet this challen.	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care forge the health care delive	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to so large numbers of	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every	continuing project are to at provides increased act per their disease, that called the first of the patients. The program that is now a new it it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epict day in the US) and in continuits.	ccess for diabetic patients in be performed in a cost. The aim of this program recently developed Comp. The complications are preversion, acute-care model order to meet this challen.	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care forge the health care delive	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to so large numbers of	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the statement of the second currently curable.	continuing project are to at provides increased act provides increased act per their disease, that call the cal	ccess for diabetic patients in be performed in a cost. The aim of this program recently developed Comp. The complications are preversion, acute-care model order to meet this challen.	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care forge the health care delive	t centralizes the part maintains the his maintains the his me the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to so large numbers of	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the studies associated to the second studies of the second secon	continuing project are that provides increased active their disease, that calent of diabetic patients. It will be the record of	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative effor	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to perform the need for diabetes Manner need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for ge the health care deliver ort.	t centralizes the part maintains the history the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to for large numbers or system will need	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the subject of	continuing project are that provides increased act provides increased act per to diabetic patients. It is to diabetic patients. The program that is now a new to the company that is treatable, and its physician-centered, epict of day in the US) and in content of the company developed under the company that is the content of the company that is the content of the conte	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative effor	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to perform the need for diabetes Manner need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for ge the health care deliver ort.	t centralizes the part maintains the history the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to for large numbers or system will need	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the company	continuing project are to at provides increased act the total provides increased act the total dispets of the result of diabetic patients. The total with the use of the reprogram that is now a suit it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epical of the CDMP developed under the total of the color.	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative effor	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to perform the need for diabetes Manner need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for ge the health care deliver ort.	t centralizes the part maintains the history the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to for large numbers or system will need	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the subject of	continuing project are to at provides increased act the total provides increased act the total dispets of the result of diabetic patients. The total with the use of the reprogram that is now a suit it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epical of the CDMP developed under the total of the color.	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative effor	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performenensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for get the health care deliverent.  athy, comprehensive dial	t centralizes the part maintains the hirm the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to our large numbers or system will need petes management	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a limit, diabetes case management, diabetes
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better management benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the company	continuing project are to at provides increased act the total provides increased act the total dispets of the result of diabetic patients. The total with the use of the reprogram that is now a suit it is treatable, and its physician-centered, epical of the CDMP developed under the total of the color.	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. Ti complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative effor	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performent the need for diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for ge the health care deliver ort.	t centralizes the part maintains the hirm the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to our large numbers or y system will need poetes management	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the studies associally with the use of the studies of the s	continuing project are to at provides increased act per their disease, that call the period of diabetic patients. It is ted with the use of the reprogram that is now a new to the telemedicine, diabetes at the color of the colo	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. To complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative efform	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performent of research was to performent of the complete of the complete of the complete of the care	t centralizes the part maintains the hirm the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to our large numbers or system will need petes management	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a diabetes case management, diabetes  19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC  19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area
14. ABSTRACT The major goals of this diabetic retinopathy the patient to better manageme benefit studies associa (JVN) Eye Health Care not currently curable, be general, the traditional cases diagnosed every reality with the use of the studies of the second sec	continuing project are that provides increased act provides increased act per their disease, that called the program that is now a subtract of the program that is now a subtract in the use of the program that is now a subtract in the use of the program that is now a subtract in the use of the provided in the provided i	ccess for diabetic patients n be performed in a cost The aim of this program recently developed Comp module of the CDMP. To complications are preve sodic, acute-care model order to meet this challen ider this collaborative efform	s to appropriate care, that effective manner, and that of research was to performenensive Diabetes Manne need for diabetes disentable. The primary goal is not designed to care for get the health care deliverent.  athy, comprehensive dial	t centralizes the part maintains the hirm the appropriate agement Program ase management of treatment is to our large numbers or system will need petes management	atients in the process, that empowers the gh standard of care required for the e clinical validation, cost efficiency, and risk (CDMP) and the Joslin Vision Network is driven by the knowledge that diabetes is manage diabetes to live a healthy life. In of diabetic patients (more than 2,200 new d to be re-engineered. This can become a lit, diabetes case management, diabetes  19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC

### **Table of Contents**

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	5
Overview	6
Research According to Site	7
Statement of Work	8
Key Research Accomplishments	8
Deviations from Project	12
Conclusion	13
References	15
Appendix A: The CDMP	21
Appendix B: The Behavioral Assessment Tool (BAT)	23
Appendix C: The Diabetes Assessment Tool Kit (DATK)	37

### Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a prevalent costly condition that causes significant morbidity and mortality. In the United States nearly 24 million people or 7% of the total population have diabetes and of whom at least 7 million are undiagnosed. An additional 54 million people are estimated to have pre-diabetes. Diabetes has recently been identified as the fifth leading cause of death in the United States. Consistent with devastating personal effects of diabetes, the costs to the health care system were estimated at \$174 billion in 2007 (\$116 billion in direct medical costs and \$58 billion in reduced national productivity. People with diagnosed diabetes, incur average expenditures of \$11,744 per year, of which \$6,649 is attributed to diabetes. People with diagnosed diabetes, on average, have medical expenditures that are more than twice the amount of expenditures in the absence of diabetes. There is abundant evidence and documentation that diabetes is a major component of all health care expenditures in the United States with most of this cost associated with long term complications of diabetes specifically, retinopathy, nerve damage (neuropathy), heart disease, stroke, kidney failure, and peripheral vascular disease resulting in amputations. Furthermore, the actual national burden of diabetes is likely to exceed the \$174 billion estimate because it omits the social cost of intangibles such as pain and suffering, care provided by nonpaid caregivers, and excess medical costs associated with undiagnosed diabetes.

Traditional health care delivery involves individual providers reacting to patient-initiated complaints and visits. Care is often fragmented, disorganized, duplicative, and focused on managing established disease and complications. Management of the disease is provider directed and focuses on pharmacologic and technologic interventions with little attention to patient self-management behaviors and provider-patient interactions (6). Evidence shows that improving care for diabetic patients results in cost savings for health care organizations and recent economic analysis studies have shown that diabetes eye care and preconception care were found to be cost saving as was preventing neuropathy and improving glycemic control.

Despite advances in treating these complications, efforts aimed at prevention are the best approach to reduce morbidity and mortality. In the last decade, innovative interventions for health care delivery have emerged that show promise for improving care, outcomes and costs for individuals and populations with diabetes. Disease and case management are two interventions that continue to demonstrate considerable potential and promise. In the arena of prevention, objectives 5-11 through 5-15 of the *Healthy People 2010* for the United States directly relate to improving screening for complications involving the retina, the kidney, the extremities, the oral cavity and the monitoring of glycemic control.

Two problems to overcome in order to reduce or prevent diabetic complications are (1) providing access of all diabetic patients to proven diagnostic and treatment strategies which reduce the risk of vision loss and (2) identifying effective methods to improve the metabolic control of patients with diabetes to reduce the risk of chronic complications. The challenge to overcome these problems is formidable. For example, intensive research over the last 30 years has developed methods that virtually eliminate diabetic retinopathy as a cause of severe vision loss. Nevertheless, diabetes remains the leading cause of new blindness in working-aged adults in the United States. The reason for this incongruity is many patients do not receive quality eye care because of geographical barriers, insufficient health insurance or financial resources, or patient or health care provider ignorance.

In a review article aimed at examining the effectiveness of disease management and case or care management for people with diabetes, the authors found that disease and care management was effective as interventions when delivered concurrently and also when delivered in conjunction with educational interventions, decision support and reminders on performance issues, such as, timely retinal evaluation. These authors went on to indicate that one of the most pressing needs is to better define effective interventions as disease management has multiple component interventions. It may be that for the optimal use of resources only the interventions that contribute the most to positive outcomes need to be implemented. These interventions have yet to be defined. Additional research will need to be done, however, to identify the optimal intensity and frequency of these interventions as well as a consideration of whether professionals other than nurses (social workers, health aids or pharmacists) can function as care mangers. Other research areas of importance were identified as: consideration of integration of disease management into existing health care systems, the effect of disease and care management on long-term health and quality of life outcomes, such as, cardiovascular disease events, visual impairment, amputations, renal failure and mortality, and the effect of care management on blood pressure, weight, lipid levels and provider screening rates for retinopathy, peripheral neuropathy and microalbuminuria. Finally this review was unable to identify any appropriately rigorous studies aimed at evaluating the cost effectiveness of the care management intervention.

### Overview

This annual report for the ongoing JVN Telehealth program at WRAMC provides an overview and status report of the studies designed to address the research questions posed above. This telehealth initiative is a unique opportunity to leverage the technological developments achieved over the past 5 years in the development of the JVN eye care and disease management programs to provide evaluations of the multiple diabetes disease management interventions from a single unified platform, the JVN Comprehensive Diabetes Management Program. The implementation of the JVN programs is extraordinarily timely in light of a recent publication in the Journal of the American Medical Association promoting the use of organized care management processes to improve the health care quality for patients with chronic diseases. The authors conclude that, although the use of care management processes vary greatly among physician organizations, the usage is low on average. They call on government and private purchasers of health care to increase the usage of care management processes through provision of external incentives for improvement of health care quality and to promote and assist physician organization to increase or improve their information technology capabilities. This continuation proposal is positioned to allow participants to play a lead role in developing evidence from rigorous multi-center studies to further support these recommendations.

The research proposals described below will leverage the successful deployment of the Joslin Vision Network (JVN) Eye Health Care program and the integration of the Comprehensive Diabetes Management Program (CDMP) to provide continuum of care for diabetic patients. The CDMP application has been developed under this collaboration and represents participation and input from leading experts in diabetes care from the Joslin Diabetes Center, Department of Defense, and the Veterans Health Administration.

Various studies have been deemed critical in order to provide the medical evidence to support preliminary data and expectations that this program will provide significant reductions in health care dollar expenses

while maintaining a high quality of care as assessed through a reduction in complications such as blindness from diabetes. The expectation is that the use of this program will also increase the access of patients to appropriate care and provide a very powerful tool that will empower the patient to improve their own management of their diabetes.

The studies proposed in this continuing proposal are prospective in nature and involve multiple participating centers. There are 8 different research projects associated with the Joslin/University of Hawaii research program (as distinct from the research related to the development of new CDMP functionality) taking place across 4 sites. As shown in the table below, four of these research projects are taking place at Walter Reed. The study designs across the four Walter Reed projects vary from each other. Two are observational studies (those designed to assess the test-retest reliability of the BAT), one is a randomized clinical trial that will provide prospective data for insertion into decision models (the JVN cost efficiency study), and one is pre-/post- usability test of the implementation of the CDMP in the Diabetes Institute at Walter Reed (CDMP usability/workflow study). The specifics of the study designs are described in the attached Statements of Work.

User evaluations of the Study Manager Module are not a scientific aim and therefore do not have a study associated with them. Rather, Walter Reed has been using the Study Manager Module more than any other site, for the projects enumerated here as well as others, and we have been refining it as we gain experience with this tool.

### Research Studies by Site

		Si	te		
Project	Joslin	Hawaii	WRAMC	VA	Status
Prospective cost efficiency study performed using the Telehealth Eye Care Module			V		Recruitment nearly completed
Prospective risk benefit study using the Telehealth Eye Care Module				V	Recruitment ongoing
Human Factors study of the CDMP: Usability Lab	<b>V</b>				Complete; Manuscript published
CDMP usability and impact on clinical workflow study			V		Data collection and initial analyses completed
Prospective clinical outcomes efficacy and cost efficiency study using the CDMP (aka Internet-based Diabetes Education and Case Management)				٧	Data collection complete and the last subject has completed the protocol; analyses ongoing
An Assessment of the Test- Retest Reliability of the CDMP BAT	٧	٧	V		Data collection complete at Joslin, VA and WRAMC; recruitment ongoing in HI, analyses of Joslin and WRAMC data being conducted together at WRAMC. Preliminary data

An Assessment of the Validity of the CDMP BAT	N	V	V		Recruitment completed at WRAMC and has been discontinued at HI; HI is coding all data from activity and food logs. WRAMC will analyze data when logs completely coded. Only subjects enrolled at WRAMC will be included in
					predictive validity.
Additional Human Factors Study for the CDMP Application: Expert Review of the CDMP	NA	NA	NA	NA	Completed; suggested changes to CDMP incorporated

Notes: NA means not applicable. The Expert Review of the CDMP did not require recruiting subjects and was done by employees of the American Institutes for Research at their offices.

### Statement of Work and Key Research Accomplishments

The different studies and progress since FY06 are enumerated below:

## 1. Prospective multi center cost efficiency study performed using the JVN Telehealth Eye care module

#### DESCRIPTION:

The primary questions are: What are the costs associated with diabetic retinopathy evaluations performed by an Ophthalmologist with a dilated eye examination and the JVN system using digital video imaging through an undilated pupil? What is the cost-effectiveness of ophthalmoscopy performed by eye care professionals compared to the Joslin Vision Network?

Specifically, the purpose of this study is to compare the costs and cost-effectiveness of the Telehealth Eye Care module with conventional clinic-based eye examinations among a diabetic cohort receiving annual eye examinations. The Eye Care Module is a digital teleophthalmology system developed by the Beetham Eye Institute at the Joslin Diabetes Center in cooperation with the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs. The research design for this study is a randomized clinical trial that will provide prospective data for insertion into decision models. In turn, the decision models will generate the data to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the Eye Care Module versus conventional clinic-based eye examinations. Consenting patients (n = 243) at sites of the Walter Reed Army Health Care System (WRHCS) with type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus and scheduled for eye examinations on an annual basis will be enrolled in the study and randomized to conventional clinic-based eye examinations or eye examinations performed by

the Telehealth Eye Care Module (plus an assessment of visual acuity). Subjects will be followed for one year. The study will track all costs that accrue over that year in the provision of care for both modalities, including labor, equipment, travel for the study subjects, and lost wages/productivity for study subjects, among others. Cost-effectiveness will be measured based on study subjects' compliance with the clinical eye examination and follow-up recommendations and diagnostic and treatment outcomes. We will a priori generate cost-effectiveness data based on diagnoses of diabetic retinopathy and macular edema. In a cost consequence analysis, we will consider other diagnostic outcomes and outcomes in aggregate. Additionally, we will impute cases of expected vision loss and, therefore, project differences in the number of cases of vision loss averted between modalities.

#### PROGRESS:

Rigorous study design completed. The study protocol has been written and approved by the Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) Human Use Committee (HUC)/IRB. Four JVN retinal imaging workstations are located at WRAMC, KACC, DeWitt, and the Fairfax Family Health Center. Two retinal reading stations are located in the WRAMC Department of Ophthalmology and the KACC Department of Optometry. Recruitment began in September, 2006. An addendum to increase the target enrollment from 243 to 393 was approved by WRAMC HUC in January, 2008. The addendum was submitted due to the rate of ungradable images taken in the JVN study arm which, at the beginning of the study, was substantially higher than the expected rate of 10-15%, thus necessitating an increase in enrollment in order to achieve statistical power.

Reasons for the comparatively high ungradable rate early in this study have been resolved and recruitment has been completed at two of the four sites for a total of 355 enrolled subjects at all four sites. Approximately 9 more subjects are needed to complete enrollment.

The original study on which this particular protocol is based was recognized as the best paper publication in the journal of *Telemedicine and e-Health* for 2006 (Whited JD, Datta SK, Aiello LM, Aiello LP, Cavallerano JD, Conlin PR, Horton MB, Vigersky RA, Poropatich RK, Challa P, Darkins AW, Bursell SE: A modeled economic analysis of a digital teleophthalmology system as used by three federal healthcare agencies for detecting proliferative diabetic retinopathy. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2005;11: 641-651.

### MILESTONES AND DELIVERABLES:

Completion of data collection and completion of initial data analyses for presentation at an annual meeting (TBD). Ultimately we hope to publish our findings and deploy the JVN telehealth eye care module throughout military treatment facilities (MTFs) and civilian clinics in the United States and abroad, thus providing an effective and economic means of screening retinal eye disease in medically underserved areas.

# 2. The Usability and Workflow Impact on Diabetes Care Specialists of the Comprehensive Diabetes Management Program (CDMP).

#### DESCRIPTION:

This project examined the usability and impact on clinical workflow of the Comprehensive Diabetes Management Program (CDMP). The CDMP is an, interactive, web-based tool for physicians, care managers and people with diabetes. The project examined the CDMP's usability and impact on clinical workflow by comparing them to those of the existing, baseline health information system in the Walter Reed Army Health Care System (WRHCS). Specifically, we examined the Diabetes HealtheCard data (which documents the process and quality measures of the Diabetes Quality Improvement Program

10 of 41

(DQIP)) of selected diabetes health care providers and administered several different questionnaires regarding the usability of the diabetes care system before and after adoption of the CDMP. We also conducted structured focus group discussions with the providers lead by a trained, experienced facilitator. Health care providers selected for this study were the Nurse Practitioners (NPs) of the Diabetes Institute of the Walter Reed Health Care System (not just Walter Reed Army Medical Center). All of the recruiting, data collection, and data analyses were done through a contract with the American Institutes for Research so as to minimize coercion of the study participants and maintain objectivity.

#### PROGRESS:

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) Human Use Committee (HUC)/IRB in October, 2004. The USAMRMC Human Subjects Research Review Board (HSRRB) deferred their second tier review to the Clinical Investigations Regulatory Office (CIRO). The study protocol was reviewed approved by CIRO on 16 November 2004. The nurse practitioners in the Diabetes Institute were trained on the CDMP and consented for their participation in this study in 2005. The CDMP was further refined and technical problems primarily related to software changes and WRAMC, DeWitt, and Meade firewalls that complicated access were resolved in 2005.

The original intent of the study was to compare the usability and impact on clinical workflow of the CDMP with the baseline HIT program entitled ICDB that was in use when the CDMP was developed. However, the removal of ICDB and the implementation of AHLTA as the DoD-wide electronic medical record (EMR) further delayed the implementation of this study. The start date for the CDMP usability study was therefore delayed until July, 2006. Data collection, including a structured focus group discussion with the providers lead by a trained, experienced facilitator, was completed by March 2007.

Three reports from this study -- corresponding with the three main types of data collected -- were completed and circulated by the American Institutes for Research in June 2007.

In September, 2008, the "architects" of CDMP (Sven Bursell, Stephanie Fonda, and Estenda Solutions (Drew Lewis & Richard [RJ] Kedziora) held an additional focus group with the DI nurse practitioners to re-assess usability of the program. The findings confirmed those of the initial focus group that the program was visually appealing and overall had appropriate content, but needed improvement in navigation and terminology. A theme that occurred throughout both focus groups was that CDMP is a tool for managing diabetes from a generalist or case manager perspective versus a specialized diabetes practitioner. Suggestions to enhance the usability and efficiency of the program are being used to revise CDMP.

### Publications:

- 1. Fonda SJ et al. (2008). Usability Test of an Internet- Based Informatics Tool for Diabetes Care Providers: the comprehensive Diabetes Management Program. <u>Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics</u>, **10**(1), 16-24.
- 2. Fonda SJ, Birkmire-Peters D, Bursell, SE (2008). Comprehensive Diabetes Management Program (CDMP). In R. Merrell and R.A. Cooper (Eds.), Proceedings of the Fourth IASTED International Conference on Telehealth and Assistive Technologies, 619 807: 168-173. Calgary: ACTA Press.

### MILESTONES AND DELIVERABLES:

11 of 41

As this is just one component of a multi-factored and ongoing project, the findings are being used to further refine and develop CDMP as a tool to enhance case managers and primary care providers' management of patients with diabetes.

## 3 and 4. Clinical Validation of the Behavior Assessment Tool (BAT) developed for the CDMP application (including test-retest reliability and validity).

The Behavioral Assessment Tool (BAT) was developed as a stand-alone module within the CDMP. It is a screening questionnaire containing questions about psycho-social factors, nutrition, physical activity, alcohol and tobacco use, medications, general health, self-monitoring of blood glucose and economic factors. There are two studies associated with testing its reliability and validity -- An Assessment of the Test-Retest Reliability of the CDMP BAT and An Assessment of the Validity of the CDMP BAT.

### DESCRIPTION:

The reliability assessment is a multi-site observational study with two measurements per study subject taking place 2 to 4 weeks apart. The original sites were: the VA Boston Healthcare System (n = 42), Joslin Diabetes Center (n = 43 - with the additional subject being approved by the IRBs), Walter ReedArmy Medical Center (n = 42), and community health centers in Hawaii (n = 42), however, due to unexpected challenges in recruitment at the community health centers, recruitment was discontinued in Hawaii. The study protocol involved recruiting English-speaking individuals who are 20+ years of age and have type 1 or 2 diabetes. Eligible and interested participants were administered the following: a) an Informed Consent; b) a test to assess executive/cognitive function; c) questions about their social-demographics; and d) the BAT. Two to four weeks later, participants are asked to complete the same procedures (excluding the Informed Consent and questions about social-demographics). In addition, the study reviews participants' medical records to obtain their most recent Alc measure, Body Mass Index (BMI), and blood pressure. This information may be examined to help explain any low correlations between BAT responses over time.

PROGRESS: The VA, Joslin, and Walter Reed have completed all data collection. We presented a poster of the results for the Joslin site at the CDC Diabetes Translation Conference (Garren, J, Fonda, SJ, Bursell, SE, Conlin, PR, Vigersky RA, Birkmire-Peters D). Test-Retest Reliability of a New Screening Questionnaire for People with Diabetes. Poster presented at the CDC Diabetes Translation Conference, Atlanta, April - May 2007). Analyses are ongoing for the VA, Joslin, and Walter Reed sites. Because the investigators were no longer at Joslin, the Joslin data were transferred to Walter Reed with Stephanie Fonda, for analyses and reporting. The transfer was approved by Joslin and Walter Reed and the DoD. The data is currently being analyzed at WRAMC.

### MILESTONES AND DELIVERABLES:

### DESCRIPTION:

The validity assessment is also a multi-site observational study. This study will examine two types of validity - concurrent and predictive. We will measure concurrent validity by examining how study subjects' responses to its questions correlate with a) their responses to similar questions in other questionnaires administered at the same time, b) recent self-report physical activity and food "logs", c) a cotinine test (to assess smoking status), and

d) concurrent health-related factors obtained from their medical records, including current or recent hemoglobin Alc (Alc), current or recent Body Mass Index (BMI), current prescribed medications, and current health conditions. We will measure predictive validity by assessing how study subjects' responses to BAT questions correlate with their future health-related factors, namely health-related factors at six months and twelve months after the BAT administration completed at the beginning of the study as part of Objective 1. The health-related factors we will examine include: new Alc; new BMI; adherence to recommended foot and eye exams in the intervening period; number of hospitalizations, number of hospital days, and number of emergency room visits in the intervening period; new medications; frequency of provider use and type of provider use in the intervening period; and new health conditions.

PROGRESS: Data collection for this study is complete at Joslin and at WRAMC. Joslin enrolled 72 subjects: 63 completed the study and 9 either dropped out or were lost to follow-up. WRAMC enrolled 75, 62 subjects completed the study. Similar to the BAT reliability study, recruitment was discontinued in Hawaii as a result of unexpected challenges in recruitment at the community health centers. Investigators at University of Hawaii coded the activity and food logs for data analysis. Data analysis is underway at WRAMC.

#### MILESTONE AND DELIVERABLES:

5. Deployment of JVN Telehealth CDMP application into the Department of Defense HealtheForces. Robert Vigersky MD, and Sven-Erik Bursell PhD.

PROGRESS: Deployment of CDMP into HealtheForces at Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) was completed in May 2005. Diabetes Institute staff at WRAMC received CDMP training in June 2005. The Diabetes Institute staff is currently developing the process and procedures for use of the CDMP in their clinic and the Usability/Workflow Study has been completed and findings are being used to further refine CDMP as a case management tool (see #2 above). Recent requirements regarding interfacing to CHCSII are currently being investigated. A three-stage plan for integration which was initiated after discussions with representatives of the Office of the Surgeon General of the Army is being modified to be consistent with the Common Development Environment (CDE) being developed by TATRC as a platform for the integration of clinical programs such as CDMP into AHLTA.

### Project Deviations

The SOWs in previous fiscal years identified CDMP and related telehealth studies that were being conducted at consortium members' facilities. This SOW specifically provides an overview of the studies and their progress being conducted at WRAMC. Description of the accomplishments and problems encountered to data are identified under the section for each study.

### Implementation of Study Manager

Study Manager is currently being used to manage and monitor the progress of three studies being conducted under the direction of COL Vigersky. The primary objective of each study is: 1) Measure the impact of a real-time continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) device on patients with Type 2 diabetes; 2) Determine the impact of a video cell phone reminder system on glycemic control in patients with diabetes mellitus: and 3) Obtain blood for genetic analysis from patients with diabetes mellitus complicated by nephropathy, autonomic neuropathy, or retinopathy and from their parents and/or siblings in

13 of 41

order to determine if any or all of these complications are linked to one or more of the proposed candidate genes. Study manager is currently being tailored to a fourth study which will determine the impact of a bedside videotelephone tip and reminder system on glycemic control in older adults residing independently at the Armed Forces Retirement Home in Washington, DC.

It is expected that study manager will be implemented with additional studies. The diversity of the studies as well as the diversity of personnel managing the studies provides an informal, but comprehensive platform from which to measure the usability and impact of study manager on workflow (efficiency) and effectiveness of data collection. Additionally, in September, 2008, the "architects" of study manager (Sven Bursell, Stephanie Fonda, and Estenda Solutions (Drew Lewis & Richard [RJ] Kedziora) held a focus group to assess the usability of the program. Suggestions to enhance the efficiency of the program were recorded and are being integrated as Study Manager is adapted to new studies.

## Deployment of JVN Telehealth CDMP application into the Department of Defense HealtheForces. Robert Vigersky MD, and Sven-Erik Bursell PhD

Deployment of CDMP into HealtheForces at Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) was completed in May 2005. The Diabetes Institute staff is currently developing the process and procedures for use of the CDMP in their clinic and the Usability/Workflow Study has been completed and findings are being used to further refine CDMP as a case management tool (see #2 above). Recent requirements, however, regarding the elimination of HealtheForces and, consequently interfacing to AHLTA (CHCSII) are currently being investigated. A three-stage plan for integration which was initiated after discussions with representatives of the Office of the Surgeon General of the Army is being modified to be consistent with the Common Development Environment (CDE) being developed by TATRC as a platform for the integration of clinical programs such as CDMP into AHLTA.

A protocol entitled, "Integration and Assessment of a Diabetes Assessment Took Kit in AHLTA", was approved by the WRAMC Department of Clinical Investigations and CIRO in February 2008, but the CRADA was not approved until May 08. The DATK consists of a risk stratification algorithm for diabetes-related complications and two questionnaires, the Behavior Assessment Tool (BAT) and the Nutrition Assessment Tool - A (NAT-A). The DATK is intended to help providers quickly screen for diabetes-related psychosocial problems (such as smoking, depression, low adherence to recommended appointments, no control of diet, etc.) and clinical problems (such as increased risk of diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy, etc). The DATK is web-based and can "stand-alone", as can its components.

The protocol reflects the modifications required by the CDE. The implementation of this study will serve as one of the first prototypes for the integration of clinical programs into AHLTA. Seventeen subjects were enrolled during the period of performance for this report.

Since the investigators are no longer at Joslin, the title of this report has been changed to reflect the addition of the University of Hawaii.

### Conclusion

Diabetes mellitus is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States, and the leading cause of new blindness, chronic kidney disease, and non-traumatic amputation in the working-aged American population.

Strategies are in place that, based on solid clinical and scientific evidence, can significantly reduce complications of diabetes through timely treatments and appropriate management. Unfortunately, less than 50% of patients with diabetes obtain appropriate medical care. Additionally, there are nearly 8 million Americans with diabetes who are unaware of their condition.

The Joslin Vision Network is a telemedicine initiative that has the potential to bring the highest quality care to all patients with diabetes. The JVN Telehealth program is a web-based interactive telemedicine application that can systematize the organization of disease and care management, that centralizes the patient in the care process, that can impact the ability of diabetic patients to more effectively mange their diabetes, improve their metabolic control, reduce the level of emotional stress associated with managing diabetes, and reduce the incidence of complications through implementation of the CDMP program.

#### REFERENCES

## (These references provide evidence-based peer-reviewed support for JVN Eye Project)

### Joslin Vision Network

- 1. Cavallerano AA, Cavallerano JD, Katalinic P, Tolson AM, Aiello LP, Aiello LM and the Joslin Vision Network Clinical Team. Use of Joslin Vision Network digital-video nonmydriatic retinal imaging to assess diabetic retinopathy in a clinical program. Retina. In press. May 2002
- 2. Bursell S-E, Cavallerano JD, Cavallerano AA, Clermont AC, Birkmire-Peters D, Aiello LP, Aiello LM, Joslin Vision Network Research Team. Stereo nonmydriatic digital-video color retinal imaging compared with Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study seven standard field 35-mm stereo color photos for determining level of diabetic retinopathy. Ophthalmology 2001;108:572-585.
- 3. Aiello LM, Cavallerano J, Cavallerano A, Bursell S-E. The Joslin Vision Network (JVN) Innovative Telemedicine Care for Diabetes. Ophtahlmol Clin North Am. 13:2000; 213-224.
- 4. Aiello LM, Bursell S-E, Cavallerano JD, Gardner WK, Strong J, Joslin Vision Network Research Team. Joslin Vision Network Validation Study: Pilot Image Stabilization Phase. J Am Optom Assoc 1998;69:699-710.
- 5. Kuzmak PM, Dayhoff RE. Extending DICOM Imaging to New Clinical Specialties in the Healthcare Enterprise. VistA Imaging Project, Department of Veterans Affairs, 1335 East West Highway Third Floor, Silver Spring, MD, USA 20910-3225. peter.kuzmak@med.va.gov

### **ETDRS**

- 6. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Photocoagulation for diabetic macular edema; Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report number 1. Arch Ophthalmol 1985;103:1796-806.
- 7. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Treatment techniques and clinical guidelines for photocoagulation of diabetic macular edema: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report number 2. Ophthalmology 1987;94:761-74.
- 8. The Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Techniques for scatter and local photocoagulation treatment of diabetic retinopathy: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report no. 3. Int Ophthalmol Clin 1987;27:254-64.
- 9. The Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Photocoagulation for diabetic macular edema: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report no. 4. Int Ophthalmol Clin 1987;27:265-72.
- 10. The Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Case reports to accompany Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study reports 3 and 4. Int Ophthalmol Clin 1987;27:273-333.

- 11. Kinyoun J, Barton F, Fisher M, et al, the ETDRS Research Group. Detection of diabetic macular edema: ophthalmoscopy versus photography—Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study report number 5. Ophthalmology 1989;96:746-51.
- 12. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. C-peptide and the classification of diabetes patients in the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study. ETDRS Report No. 6. Ann Epidemiol 1993; 3:9-17.
- 13. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study design and baseline patient characteristics: ETDRS report number 7. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5)(Suppl):741-56.
- 14. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Effects of aspirin treatment on diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report number 8. Ophthalmology 1991; 98(5)(Suppl):757-65.
- 15. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Early photocoagulation for diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report number 9. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5)(Suppl):766-85.
- 16. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs—an extension of the modified Airlie House classification: ETDRS report number 10. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5) (Suppl):786-806.
- 17. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Classification of diabetic retinopathy from fluorescein angiograms: ETDRS report number 11. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5) (Suppl):807-22.
- 18.Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Fundus photographic risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report number 12. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5) (Suppl):823-33.
- 19. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Groups. Fluorescein angiographic risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy: ETDRS report number 13. Ophthalmology 1991;98(5) (Suppl):834-40.
- 20. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Aspirin effects on mortality and morbidity in patients with diabetes mellitus. ETDRS Report No. 14. JAMA 1992; 268:1292-300.
- 21. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Aspirin effects on the development of cataracts in patients with diabetes mellitus. ETDRS Report No. 16. Arch Ophthalmol 1992; 110:339-42.
- 22. Flynn HW, Chew EY, Simons BD, Barton FB, Remaley NA, Ferris FL III, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Pars plana vitrectomy in the early treatment diabetic retinopathy study. ETDRS Report No. 17. Ophthalmology 1992; 99:1351-57.
- 23. Davis MD, Fisher MR, Gangnon RE, Barton F, Aiello LM, Chew EY, Ferris FL 3rd, Knatterud GL. Risk factors for high-risk proliferative diabetic retinopathy and severe visual loss: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Report #18. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1998 39:233-52.
- 24. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Report Number 19. Focal photocoagulation treatment of diabetic macular edema: Relationship of

- treatment effect to fluorescein angiographic and other retinal characteristics at baseline. Arch Ophthalmol 1995;113:1144-1155.
- 25. Chew EY, Klein ML, Murphy RP, Remaley NA, Ferris FL III, ETDRS Research Group. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Report Number 20. Effects of aspirin on vitreous/preretinal hemorrhage in patients with diabetes mellitus. Arch Ophthalmol 1995:;13:52-55.
- 26.Chew EY, Klein ML, Ferris FL III, Remaley NA, Murphy RP, Chantry K, Hoogwerf BJ, Miller D, ETDRS Research Group. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Report Number 22. Association of
- 27.elevated serum lipid levels with retinal hard exudates in diabetic retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol 1996;114:1079-1084.
- 28. Fong DS, Segal PP, Myers F, Ferris FL, Hubbard LD, Davis MD, for the Early treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study research Group. Subretinal fibrosis in diabetic macular edema: ETDRS Report 23. Arch Ophthalmol 1997;115:873-877.
- 29. Fong DS, Ferris FL 3rd, Davis MD, Chew EY. Causes of severe visual loss in the early treatment diabetic retinopathy study: ETDRS report no. 24. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Am J Ophthalmol. 1999;127:137-41.
- 30. Ferris FL. How effective are treatments for diabetic retinopathy? JAMA 1993; 269:1290-91.
- 31. Ferris FL, Davis MD, and Aiello LM. Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy, New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341:9:667-678

#### DCCT

1993;329:977-986.

- 32. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Are continuing studies of metabolic control and microvascular complications in insulindependent diabetes mellitus justified? N Engl J Med 1988; 318:246-50.
- 33. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The relationship of glycemic exposure ( $HbA_{1c}$ ) to the risk of development and progression of retinopathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Diabetes 1995;44:968-983.
- 34. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Progression of retinopathy with intensive versus conventional treatment in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Ophthalmology 1995;102:647-661.
- 35. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Hypoglycemia in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Diabetes 1997;46:271-286.
- 36. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Lifetime benefits and costs of intensive therapy as practiced in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. JAMA 1996;276:1409-1415.
- 37. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long term complications in insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med

38. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The relationship of glycemic exposure ( $HbA_{1c}$ ) to the risk of development and progression of retinopathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. Diabetes 1995; 44:968-983.

39. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Intervention and Complications Research Group. Retinopathy and nephropathy in patients with type 1 diabetes four years after a trial of intensive therapy. N Engl J Med 2000;342:381-9.

#### UKPDS

- 40.Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Holman RR, Matthews DR, Turner RC, for the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study. Diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and associated risk factors: United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, 30. Arch Ophthalmol 1998;116:297-303.
- 41.UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insullin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 33. Lancet 1998:352837-853.

## Papers Reviewed to provide evidence-based peer-reviewed support for JVN CDMP Project

- 42.Barrera Jr. M, Glasgow RE, McKay HG, Boles SM, Feil EG. Do Internet-based support interventions change perceptions of social support: An experimental trial of approaches for supporting diabetes self-management. American Journal of Community Psychology 2002, 30: 637-654.
- 43.Branger PJ, van't Hooft A, van der Wouden JC, Moorman PW, van Bemmel JH. Shared care for diabetes: supporting communication between primary and secondary care. International Journal of Medical Informatics 1999, 53: 133-142.
- 44. Cherry JC, Moffatt TP, Rodriguez C, Dryden Kirsten. Diabetes disease management program for an indigent population empowered by telemedicine technology. Diabetes Technology and Therapeutics 2002, 4: 783-791.
- 45.Clark Jr. CM, Synder JW, Meek RL, Stutz LM, Parkin CG. A systematic approach to risk stratification and intervention within a managed care environment improves diabetes outcomes and patient satisfaction. Diabetes Care 2001, 24: 1079-1086.
- 46.Demakis JG, Beauchamp C, Cull WL, Denwood R, Eisen SA, Lofgren R, Nichol K, Woolliscroft J, Henderson WG. Improving Residents' Compliance With Standards of Ambulatory Care: Results from the VA Cooperative Study on Computerized Reminders. JAMA 2000, 2845: 1411-1416.
- 47.Dimmick SL, Burgiss SG, Robbins S, Black D, Jarnagin B, Anders M. Outcomes of an integrated telehealth network demonstration project. Telemedicine Journal and e-Health 2003, 9: 13-23.
- 48. Feil EG, Glasgow RE, Boles S, McKay HG. Who participates in internet-based self-management programs? A study among novice computer users in a primary care setting. The Diabetes Educator 2000, 26: 806-811.

49. Giménez-Pérez G, Gallach M, Acera E, Prieto A, Carro O, Ortega E, González-Clemente JM, Mauricio D. Evaluation of accessibility and use of new communication technologies in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Medical Internet Research 2002, 4: e16.

- 50. Glasgow RE, Toobert DJ. Brief, computer-assisted diabetes dietary self-management counseling. Effects of behavior, physiologic outcomes, and quality of life. Medical Care 2000, 38: 1062-1073.
- 51. Glawgow RE, La Chance PA, Toobert DJ, Brown J. Hampson SE, Riddle MC. Long term effects and costs of brief behavioural dietary intervention for patients with diabetes delivered from the medical office. Patient Education and Counseling 1997, 32: 175-184.
- 52. Glasgow RE, Toobert DJ, Hampson SE. Effects of a brief office-based intervention to facilitate diabetes dietary self-management. Diabetes Care 1996, 19: 835-842.
- 53. Iafusco D, Ingenito N, Prisco F. The chatline as a communication and educational tool in adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes: Preliminary observations. Diabetes Care 2000, 23: 1853.
- 54. Johnston B, Weeler L, Deuser J, Sousa KH. Outcomes of the Kaiser Permanente Tele-Home Health Research Project. Archives of Family Medicine 2000, 9: 40-45.
- 55.Kirkman SM, Weinberger M, Landsman PB, Samsa GP, Shortliffe EA, Simel DL, Feussner JR. A telephone-delivered intervention for patients with NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1994, 17: 840-845.
- 56.Lobach DF, Hammond, WE. Computerized decision support based on a clinical practice guideline improves compliance with care standards. American Journal of Medicine 1997, 102: 89-98.
- 57.McKay HG, King D, Eakin EG, Seeley JR, Glasgow RE. The Diabetes Network internet-based physical activity intervention: A randomized pilot study. Diabetes Care 2001, 24: 1328-1334.
- 58.McKay HG, Glasgow RE, Feil EG, Boles SM, Barrera Jr. M. Internet-based diabetes self-management and support: Initial outcomes from the Diabetes Network Project. Rehabilitation Psychology 2002, 47: 31-48
- 59. Meigs JB, Cagliero E, Dubey A, Murphy-Sheehy P, Gildesegame C, Chueh H, Barry MJ, Singer DE, Nathan DM. A controlled trial of web-based diabetes disease management. Diabetes Care 2003, 26: 750-757.
- 60.Montori VM, Dinneen SF, Gorman CA, Zimmerman BR, Rizza RA, Bjornsen SS. The impact of planned care and a diabetes electronic management system on community-based diabetes care: The Mayo Health System Diabetes Translation Project. Diabetes Care 2002, 25: 1952-1957.
- 61. Patel VL, Kushniruk AW, Yang S, Yale JF. Impact of a computer-based patient record system on data collection, knowledge organization, and reasoning. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association 2000, 7: 569-585.
- 62. Peters A, Davidson MB. Application of a diabetes managed care program: The

- feasibility of using nurses and a computer system to provide effective care. Diabetes Care 1998, 21: 1037-1043.
- 63.Piette JD. Patient education via automated calls. A study of English and Spanish speakers with diabetes. American Journal of Preventive Medicine 1999, 17: 138-141.
- 64.Piette JD, Mah CA. The feasibility of automated voice messaging as an adjunct to diabetes outpatient care. Diabetes Care 1997; 15-21.
- 65. Piette JD, McPhee SJ, Weinberger M, Mah CA, Kraemer FB. Use of automated telephone disease management calls in an ethnically diverse sample of low-income patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999, 22: 1302-1309.
- 66.Piette JD, Weinberger M, McPhee SJ, Mah CA, Kraemer FB, Crapo LM. Do automated calls with nurse follow-up improve self-care and glycemic control among vulnerable patients with diabetes? American Journal of Medicine 2000, 108: 20-27.
- 67.Piette JD; Weinberger M, Kraemer FB, McPhee SJ. Impact of automated calls with nurse follow-up on diabetes treatment outcomes in a Department of Veterans Affairs health care system: A randomized controlled trial. Diabeted Care 2001, 24: 202-208.
- 68. Smith L, Weinert C. Telecommunication support for rural women with diabetes.
  Diabetes Educator 2000; 26: 645-655.
- 69. Sperl-Hillen J, O'Connor PJ, Carlson RR, Lawson TB, Halstenson C, Crowson T,
  Wuorenma J. Improving diabetic care in a large health care system: An enhanced primary care approach. Journal on Quality Improvement 2000; 26: 615-622.
- 70. Tai SS, Nazareth I, Donegan C, Haines A. Evaluation of general practice computer templates. Lessons from a randomized controlled trial. Methods of Information in Medicine 1999; 38: 177-181.
- 71. Zrebiec JF, Jacobson AM. What attracts patients with diabetes to an internet support group? A 21-month longitudinal website study. Diabetic Medicine 2001; 18: 154-158.

### Appendix A

The CDMP is a web-based diabetes case management tool developed by a consortium of researchers, physicians, and educators specializing in diabetes and its management. The consortium was drawn from the Joslin Diabetes Center, the Department of Defense [Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) and Tripler Army Medical Center (TAMC)], Veterans Health Affairs (Boston Veterans Hospital), and the Indian Health Service (IHS). The overall goal behind the development of the CDMP is to provide an interactive, web-based clinical tool for care managers that improves diabetes care. The CDMP is intended to: provide an automatic system to foster a high level of continuous care and communication among patients, care managers, and physicians; insure that the latest clinical guidelines are used in the care; and focus on both clinical and behavioral patient problem areas, rather than just clinical areas, as is usually the case in diabetes care.

The standard clinical care for a patient with diabetes typically follows a pattern similar to that outlined below:

- 1) Patient assessment by review of medical records and lab reports, taking a medical history, and performing a physical examination;
- Assessment of the physical, psychological, and learning status of the patient (via formal or informal interviewing techniques and/or exams);
- 3) Preparation and maintenance of a treatment plan for the patient, with an emphasis on patient self-management;
- 4) Referral of patient needing immediate medical care for non-diabetic problems to his/her primary care provider (PCP) or identification of a PCP for any patient who does not have one;
- 5) Referral of the patient to consultants (e.g. ophthalmology, cardiology, and nephrology) as needed;
- 6) Referral of the patient to diabetes education services, including classes, booklets, and other media;
- 7) Ongoing follow-up and feedback to the patient and treatment providers.

By contrast, the CDMP was designed to contribute to the standard clinical process by:

- Generating three levels of alerts for the care manager or provider, all of which are based on a risk assessment algorithm and American Diabetes Association (ADA) and Diabetes Institute (DI) diabetes management guidelines (see below) in advance of the clinic visit;
- 2) Providing clinical assessment, notification, and communication tools;
- 3) Tracking availability and patient use of educational resources that are site and user specific;
- 4) Summarizing patient knowledge and the impact of educational interventions;
- 5) Providing dynamic care planning which is done with the patient and targets physical wellness, lifestyle self-management, and psychosocial health (including possible patient barriers in these areas);
- 6) Connecting with the health organization's health information system or available electronic data (with provisions for client and medical records privacy).

The CDMP is based on current ADA clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) and those of the Diabetes Institute of the WRHCS. They focus on diabetes clinical management, lifestyle modification and psychosocial health. In the CDMP case management model, the care manager is the key coordinator between patients and

the healthcare team that includes MDs, NPs, educators, sub-specialists, nutritionists, and behavioral clinicians.

The CDMP is designed to be superimposed functionally and integrated into the HealtheForces Integrated Clinical Data Base (ICDB) until it is de-commissioned and then into CHCS II. There is a CDMP server housed in the Department of Information Management, WRAMC.

CDMP generates "alerts" when a patient has experienced a particular health event or when the results from a patient's test exceed a pre-determined clinical threshold. Alerts are presented to the care manager/provider on his/her home page when next s/he logs into ICDB. The alerts are "red" (high risk), "yellow" (medium risk), and "green" (low risk) icons and are based on the CPGs. Selecting the alert icon activates search options specific to the patient; e.g., demographic data, medication list, laboratory results, the event or result that generated the alert, available options for the care plan, and follow-up actions. These are displayed with a pull-down menu from which the care manager/provider can select various actions to be taken in response to the alert.

The CDMP also provides an overall clinical risk stratification of each patient. The stratification indicates whether and how the patient is above or below established goals in the areas of glycemic control, nephropathy, peripheral vascular disease, peripheral neuropathy, and retinopathy. Together with the care manager's/provider's knowledge of his/her patient, the risk stratification allows the care manager/provider to devise an individualized care plan that includes recommendations regarding the patient's goals, lifestyle, monitoring needs, and areas requiring further education. The risk stratification indicates whether patients are "high risk", "moderate risk", or "low risk" based on the Joslin Diabetes Center Clinical Guidelines for Adults with Diabetes.

CDMP has a section on patient education. This section lists the educational tools available at a particular site (e.g., videos, books, classes) and provides an evaluation of how advanced each tool is. The care manager and/or the diabetes educator can then assign educational tools, track each patient's use of the tools, and thus obtain a summary of a patient's knowledge and the impact of the educational intervention.

The CDMP contains other features intended to assist the care manager/provider in the organization of his/her caseload. For example, the CDMP home page shows the care manager's/provider's daily reminders. The reminders show the patient's name, the type of reminder needed (e.g., clinical assessment, modification of the care plan, etc.), and pertinent details regarding the reminder such as type of action needed. The CDMP home page also shows each day's upcoming appointments. Further, there is a scheduler within the CDMP that helps care managers to schedule routine appointments. Finally, the CDMP provides the care manager/provider easy access to complete, and/or up-to-date paperless records of each patient in his/her caseload. For each patient, these records include a history of his/her behavioral assessment, a photograph, demographics and vital signs, medication usage, record of laboratory results, health care procedures the patient has had, diagnoses, patient admission history, education history, and the results (if performed) of the non-dilated retinal examination using the Joslin Vision Network digital, stereo nonmydriatic cameras. The Joslin Vision Network is already located in 4 sites in the Walter Reed Health Care System (WRAMC, Kimbrough Ambulatory Care Center, Dewitt Army Community Hospital, and Fairfax Family Health Clinic), so this

 $$23\,\mathrm{of}\,41$$  information will be included in the CDMP at the Diabetes Institute when it is deployed.

### Appendix B

### SECTION A. CLOCK DRAWING EXERCISE

### Please read and do the following carefully:

- *In the blue box on the next page:*
- Draw a picture of a clock
- Put in all the numbers
- Set the time to ten after eleven

Hand this sheet back and go to the next page

### SECTION B. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1.	What is your do	ate of birth?			/			/				
			M	M		D	D		Y	Y	Y	Y
2.	Are you male o	<b>rfemale?</b> Male										
	6	Female										
3.	Do vou conside	er vourself to be Snanish. Hisna Yes	unic. or Latino	(Latin	na)?							
	ô	No										
4.	What race do y	you consider yourself to be? Se	lect one or mo	re of th	ie foll	owing:						
							Yes			Ì	No	
	a. American In	dian or Alaska Native					0				0	
	b. Asian						0				0	
	c. Black or Afr	ican American	0						0			
	d. Native Haw	aiian or other Pacific Islander		0						0		
	e. White or Ca	ucasian					0				0	
	f. OTHER						0				0	
	f1. S.	PECIFY										
5.	What is the hi	ghest grade or level of school y	ou have compl	eted or	· tho h	iahest.	doaro	e vou l	have re	coive	12	
<i>J</i> .	O	Less than high school	ou nave compi	cica oi	ine n	ngnesi	ucgree	you i	iure re	ccircu		
	0	High school diploma (incl	udina GFD)									
	0	Some college	uuing GED)									
		_	A a a a a i = 4 - 2 -									
	0	College degree (including Bachelor's Degree)	Associate's or	•								
	0	Some graduate school										

	0	Graduate or professional degree (including MA; MS; Master's, MBA, Law and MD, PhD)
6.	How many pincome?	people, including yourself, are supported on your household's #PEOPLE
7.		acome from wages, salaries, Social Security or retirement benefits, help from relatives, veteran's benefit investments, and other sources, about how much was your total <u>household income</u> in the last 12 months Less than \$5,000
	0	\$5,000 - \$9,999
	0	\$10,000 - \$19,999
	0	\$20,000 - \$29,999
	0	\$30,000 - \$39,999
	0	\$40,000 - \$49,999
	0	\$50,000 - \$59,999
	0	\$60,000 - \$69,999
	0	\$70,000 - \$79,999
	0	\$80,000 - \$89,999
	0	\$90,000 - \$99,999
	O	\$100,000 or more
	0	Don't know
8.	Are you cur etc.) health	rently covered by public (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid) or private (e.g., through your or your spouses' job, insurance?
	0	Yes
	<i>0</i> <i>0</i>	No
	O	

### SECTION C. BEHAVIORAL ASSESSMENT TOOL

Ba	nt Study Questic	onnaire				S	TUDY	PART	ГІСІР	PANT I.	D	
	, ,											
							Ι,	DA	TE	Ι, Ι		
					M	М	/	D	D	/	Y	Y
1.	Is English y	our native language?										
	0	No		Go	to nex	t qu	ıesti	on				
	0	Yes		Skip to	question	#3						
2.	When you l	learn something new, do	pes it help to h	near it in you	ur native la	angu	age?					
	0	Yes										
	0	No										
	0	Don't know or not	sure									
3.	Would you	like someone who speal	ks your native	e language i	to help yo	u cor	nplete	e this	surv	ey?		
	0	Yes										
	0	No										
	0	Don't know or not	sure									
4.	Do you hav	re problems reading and	l understandin	ng written m	aterials?							
	0	Yes			Go to	nex	kt au	ıesti	ion			
	0	No		S	kip to qu							
	0	Don't know or no	ot sure									
5.	Would you	like someone to read the	e survey ques	stions to you	ı?							
	0	Yes										
	0	No										
	0											

Don't know or not sure

## Diabetes History

6.	When were	you first told you have diabetes?
	0	I was just diagnosed within the last 12 months
	0	1 - 5 years ago
	0	6 - 10 years ago
	0	More than 10 years ago
	0	Don't know or not sure
	Nutrition	
7.	On a typical	day, how many servings of fruits and vegetables do you eat?
	0	None
	0	1 - 5 servings
	0	6 – 10 servings
	0	More than 10 servings
	0	Don't know or not sure
8.	During the p	ast 7 days, how often did you eat 3 meals a day (that is, you did not skip a meal)?
	0	0 days
	0	1 - 5 days a week
	0	6 - 7 days a week
	0	Don't know or not sure
9.	How many t	imes in the past 7 days have you eaten food prepared in a restaurant or cafeteria?
	0	0 times
	0	1 - 2 times
	0	3 - 5 times
	0	6 or more times
	0	Don't know or not sure

## Physical Activity

10. How would you describe your physical activity level?

0	Sedentary or lightly active (Mostly sitting or lying down, e.g., TV, reading; Sitting or standing most of the day, e.g., desk work, teaching, white collar work, light housework)
0	Moderately active (Standing or walking, moving most of the day, e.g., heavy housework, brisk walking, gardening)
0	Very active (Moving strenuously, e.g., aerobics, biking, hiking, running, climbing stairs, mowing lawn, manual labor)
0	Don't know or not sure
In the last 7 d	ays, how many times were you moderately to very physically active for 30 minutes or
Ο	0 times
0	1 - 3 times
Ο	4 - 6 times
0	More than 6 times
0	Don't know or not sure
	o you check your blood sugar?
0	Never
0	Less than once a week
Ο	1 - 5 days a week
0	About once a day
0	Twice a day or more
0	Don't know or not sure
Medications	
In the last 7 o	days, how often did you miss taking your diabetes pills or insulin?
0	One time a week
Ο	2 - 4 times a week
0	Most days
0	Everyday

11.

12.

13.

### Mood

14.	During the p hopeless?	ast month have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed, or
	0	Yes
	0	No
	0	Don't know or not sure

15.	During the pa	ast month have you often had little interest or pleasure in doing things?				
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	5 111				
		Don't know or not sure				
Δlc	cohol					
16.	Do you drink a	alcohol?				
10.	0	Yes				
	U	res	Go to next question			
	0	No	Skip to question #18			
17.	Are you conce	Are you concerned about your drinking?				
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
Smo	oking					
Silic	oking					
18.	18. Do you smoke cigarettes, cigars, a pipe, or chew tobacco?					
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	No, but I quit within the last	t 6 months			
You	r health					
19.	In general, w	ould you say your health is:				
	0	Excellent				
	0	Very Good				
	0	Good				
	0	Fair				
	0	Poor				
20.	Have you been examined by an eye doctor in the last 12 months?					
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	Don't know or not sure				

21.	How often do you check your feet for sores, cuts, or bruises?					
	0	Never				
	0	Once a month				
	0	Every couple of weeks				
	0	At least once a week				
	0	Every day				
	0	Don't know or not sure				
22. Have your feet been examined by a doctor in the last 12 months?						
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	Don't know or not sure				
23.	Do you use o	other healing methods or remedies in a	ddition to those prescribed for you?			
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	Don't know or not sure				
Sup	oport from Fan	nily and Friends				
24.	Do you have	family and friends you can ask for hel	o?			
	0	Yes	Go to next question			
	0	No	Skip to question #26			
	0	Don't know or not sure	Skip to question #26			
25.	Do your fam	ily and friends live in your house or nea	arby?			
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
	0	Don't know or not sure				

26.	Do you agree with the following statement? My family and friends support me by encouraging me to do things to improve my health.					
	0	Strongly agree				
	0	Agree				
	0	Neither agree nor disagree				
	0					
	Disagree O					
	O	Strongly disagree				
Cor	ning to the	clinic				
27.	Do you have problems getting to the clinic?					
	0	Yes				
	0	No				
28.	How long doe	s it usually take you to get to the clinic?				
	0	Less than 30 minutes				
	0	30 minutes to an hour				
	0	More than an hour				
	0	Don't know or not sure				
29.	How do you	usually get to the clinic?				
	0	My family or a friend drives me				
	0	I drive myself				
	0	I ride a van or bus or train				
	0	I walk or ride a bicycle				
	0	Other				
Edu	ucation					
30.	). How do you like to learn about new things?					
		Yes No				
a.	Watching slide	s or videos O O				
b.	Reading	0 0				

35 of 41 0 0 Others showing me how d. Discussions 0 0 Listening to others 0 0 e. Using computers 0 0 f. g. In a class 0 0 0 0 h. Other ways How much have you learned about diabetes from reading materials, visits with nurses, or attending 31. 0 A lot 0 Some 0 None 0 Don't know or not sure Would you like to learn more about taking care of your diabetes? 32. 0 Yes 0 No 0 Don't know or not sure **More About You** 33. Which **BEST** describes you? (Choose only one answer.) 0 Employed full-time 0 Employed part-time 0 Disabled 0 Retired 0 Student 0 Looking for work 0 Other 34. Do you have any vision problems? 0 Yes 0 No

0

0

35.

Don't know or not sure

Do you have any hearing problems?

Yes

36.		Do you hav	re any problems walking?		
		0	Yes		
		0	No		
		0	Don't know or not sure		
37.	Do you have problems remembering things?				
		0	Yes		
		0	No		
		0	Don't know or not sure		
38.		Do you have	e any money issues that affect your ability to take care of ar	ny of the following ite	ems?
				Yes	No
	a.	Medication		0	0
	b.	Food		О	0
	C.	Transportation		0	0
	d.	Self-monitor	ing supplies	0	0
39. Do you have any concerns about your diabetes that we have not covered today someone from the staff will talk to you about them. (NOTE: QUESTION WILL NTHIS STUDY)					
		0	Yes		
		0	No		
		0	Don't know or not sure		

0

0

No

Don't know or not sure

### SECTION D. FINAL QUESTION

1.	Did you complete these questions with help from another person?				
	0	Yes			
	0	No			
	0	Don't know or not sure			

## Appendix C.1 Behavioral Assessment Tool Questions

Note that the format of the questionnaire will be different in the PureEdge Forms. These forms are not completed yet, so we cannot show them here.

Last 4 of your SSN: Name: 1. Is English your native language? 2. When you learn something new, does it help to hear it in your native language? 3. Would you like someone who speaks your native language to help you complete this survey? 4. Do you have problems reading and understanding written materials? 5. Would you like someone to read the survey questions to you? Diabetes History 6. When were you first told you have diabetes? Nutrition 7. On a typical day, how many servings of fruit and/or vegetables do you eat? 8. During the past 7 days, how often did you eat 3 meals a day (that is, you did not skip a meal)? 9. How many times in the past 7 days have you eaten food prepared in a restaurant or cafeteria? Physical Activity 10. How would you describe your physical activity level? 11. In the last 7 days, how many times were you moderately to very physically active for 30 minutes or more (e.g., heavy housework, brisk walking, gardening, aerobics, biking, hiking, running, climbing stairs, mowing the lawn, manual labor)? Checking Blood Sugars 12. How often do you check your blood sugar? Medications 13. In the last 7 days, how often did you miss taking your diabetes pills or insulin? Mood 14. During the past month, have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed, or hopeless? 15. During the past month, have you often had little interest or pleasure in doing things? Alcohol 16. Do you drink alcohol? 17. Are you concerned about your drinking? 18. Do you smoke cigarettes, cigars, a pipe, or chew tobacco? Your health 19. In general, would you say your health is: 20. Have you been examined by an eye doctor in the last 12 months? 21. How often do you check your feet for sores, cuts, or bruises? 22. Have your feet been examined by a doctor in the last 12 months? 23. Do you use other healing methods or remedies in addition to those prescribed for you? Support from friends and family 24. Do you have family and friends you can ask for help? 25. Do your friends and family live in your house or nearby? 26. Do you agree with the following statement?

My family and friends support me by encouraging me to do things to improve my health.

27. Do you have problems getting to the clinic?

Coming to the clinic

- 28. How long does it usually take you to get to the clinic?
- 29. How do you usually get to clinic?

#### Education

- 30. How do you like to learn about new things?
- 31. How much have you learned about diabetes from reading materials, visits with nurses, or attending classes?
- 32. Would you like to learn more about taking care of your diabetes?

### More about you

- 33. Which best describes you? (question pertains to employment status)
- 34. Do you have any vision problems?
- 35. Do you have any hearing problems?
- 36. Do you have any problems walking?
- 37. Do you have problems remembering things?
- 38. Do you have any money issues that affect your ability to take care of any of the following items: medication, food, transportation, and self-monitoring supplies?
- 39. Do you have any concerns about your diabetes that we have not covered today? If you check yes, someone from the staff will talk to you about them.

### Appendix C.2 Nutritional Assessment Tool -- A

Note that the format of the questionnaire will be different in the PureEdge Forms. These forms are not completed yet, so we cannot show them here.

Name:

### Last 4 of your SSN:

Question	Answer
Have you seen a Dietitian (or Nutritionist) for your diabetes	Yes / No / I don't know
within the last 12 months?	
Do you have any religious, cultural, or personal beliefs that	Yes / No
should be part of your diabetes care?	
Do you have any food allergies or intolerances?	Yes / No
Do you take Vitamins, Herbs, minerals or any other	Yes / No
supplements?	
Who does most of the food shopping for the home?	1. Self only
	2. Spouse or Significant Other only
	3. Self and another person
	4. Community/Home Health Worker only
	5. Other
Who prepares most of the meals at home?	1. Self only
	2. Spouse or Significant Other only
	3. Self and another person
	4. Community/Home Health Worker only
	5. Other
How many times per week do you eat your main or big meal	1. 0-1 times per week
away from home (e.g. takeout, café/restaurant, friend's or	2. 2-3 times per week
family's home, Elder Center, etc.)?	3. More than 3 times per week
How satisfied are you with how you are managing your	1. Not at all satisfied
eating plan?	2. Somewhat satisfied
	3. Very satisfied
	4. Don't have an eating plan
Does your mood affect your eating habits?	Yes / No
If you have ever tried to make changes in what you eat, how	1. Not at all successful
successful were you?	2. Somewhat successful
	3. Very successful
	4. Never tried to make changes
Would you like information about any of these topics? Check	1. Avoiding Hypoglycemia
boxes:	2. Avoiding Hyperglycemia
	3. Meal Planning for Diabetes
	4. Choosing Healthy Snacks
	5. Eating Away From Home
	6. Managing Diabetes during Holidays and
	Celebrations
	7. Grocery Shopping and Food Labels
	8. Modifying Recipes
	9. Losing Weight
	10. Physical Activity
	11. Eating for a Healthy Heart
	12. Eating to Keep Your Kidneys Healthy
	13. Other topics for your CareTeam?

### Appendix C.3

### Risk Stratification Algorithm and Report for Fictitious Patient

	Comprehensive Diabetes	Management I	Program	Home   My HM Data	Status Cente	r   Private Mege	Search   H
				JVN   Studies	Add Patient	User Pref   Adn	iln   Log Out
Patient Info	New Detailed Risk Stratifica	ation Report fo	or: Robert Salve	0			
Snapshot  Alerta/Reminders					Reset	Clear	Ureate
Clinical	A1C						
Home Monitoring Risk Profile	Recent Readings:						
-Limited	1. A1C (Most Recent)	Date: 11/15/2006		Result 6.8			
New Detailed Detailed History	2. A1C	Date: 11/08/2006		Result 9g			
Education	Interventions						
Survey History	Medication Adjustment	_ M	eal Plan Adjustment		Education Se	ssion	
JVN Report							
Image Catalog  Gare Plan	Cardiovascular Health						
DME	Risk Factors						
Add/Edit Patient Data	Family hx of CAD			Vascular Byp	ass Surgery		
Private Maga	Obesity						
Encounter Encounter	Has Been Diagnosed With						
🗕 Survey Request	Large Vessel Disease/Atheroscierosis			Claudication			
open all   close all	CAD				lynatonslan		
open an   close an			Orthostatic Hypotension  Stroke/TIA				
	Angina						
	MI			PVD			
	CABG/PTCA						
	Interventions						
	✓ ASA			ASA Contrain	ndicated		
	Lipids			_			
	Total Cholesterol:	Date:		Result			
	HDL:	Date: 02/2	1/2006	Result 38	}	_	
	LDL:	Date: 02/2	1/2006	Result 12	27		
	Triglycerides:	Date: 02/2	1/2006	Result 16	53		
	Interventions						